JOM 23054

Alkoxide, phenoxide and hydrido-phenoxide compounds of tantalum bearing the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl ligand

Vernon C. Gibson and Terence P. Kee

Department of Chemistry, University Science Laboratories, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE (UK) (Received June 18, 1992)

Abstract

 $(\eta$ -C₅Me₅)Ta(PMe₃)(H)₂ $(\eta^2$ -CHPMe₂) (1) reacts readily with alcohols at room temperature to afford the tetra-alkoxides $(\eta$ -C₅Me₅)Ta(OR)₄ [R = Me (2), ⁱPr (3) and Ph (4)], with the diols HOCH₂CH₂OH and 1,2-(HO)₂C₆H₄ to give [$(\eta$ -C₅Me₅)Ta(O₂C₂H₄)₂]_n (5) and [$(\eta$ -C₅Me₅)Ta(O₂C₆H₄)₂(PMe₃)]_n (6) respectively, and with sterically demanding phenols to give the hydrido-phenoxide complexes (η -C₅Me₅)Ta(H)₂(OAr)₂ (Ar = -2,6-Me₂C₆H₃ (7), -2,4,6-Me₃C₆H₂ (8) and -2,6-ⁱPr₂C₆H₃ (9)).

We have recently shown that the unusual cyclo- $(\eta - C_5 Me_5)Ta(PMe_3)(H)_2(\eta^2$ complex metallated CHPMe₂) (1) is a useful synthon for the $[(\eta C_5Me_5)Ta(PMe_3)_2$ moiety in reactions with carbon monoxide and dihydrogen [1,2]; in the case of CO, the PMe₃ ligand is regenerated by metal-to-carbon hydrogen migrations. Here, we report on reactions of (1) with protic oxygen-based reagents, in particular alcohols, diols and phenols, which we expected to result in displacement of the soft PMe₃ ligands and to give rise to OR ligation of the $[(\eta - C_5 Me_5)Ta]$ fragment. This type of reactivity was noted previously [3] and was exploited further in the reaction of $(\eta - C_5 Me_5)$ -Ta(PMe₂)(H)₂(η^2 -CHPMe₂) with water to give the unusual butterfly cluster $(\eta - C_5 Me_5)_4 Ta_4 O_7 (OH)_2$ [3].

1. Results and discussion

1.1. Reaction of (1) with ROH $(R = Me, {}^{i}Pr, Ph)$

Complex 1 was found to react smoothly at room temperature with MeOH and ⁱPrOH (4 equiv.) in benzene to afford $(\eta$ -C₅Me₅)Ta(OR)₄ (R = Me (2), ⁱPr (3)) (Scheme 1). Both products were characterised by comparison of their ¹H NMR data with those of authentic samples [4 *]. Monitoring of the reactions by ¹H NMR spectroscopy revealed that two equivalents of PMe₃ are displaced and H_2 is liberated (δ 4.46 ppm). No intermediates were detected, suggesting that any hydrido-alkoxo or tertiaryphosphine-alkoxo species are short-lived under the reaction conditions. The presence of an excess of PMe₃ was found to retard the reaction, indicating that loss of PMe₃ is rate-limiting, as found for reactions of 1 with phosphines and carbon monoxide [2].

Compound 1 also reacts smoothly with four equivalents of phenol in toluene to afford colourless crystals of the previously unreported complex $(\eta - C_5 Me_5)$ - $Ta(OPh)_4$ (4) in ca. 80% isolated yield; the reaction also proceeds in the solid state with evolution of gas (assumed to be dihydrogen). PhOH reacts notably faster than either MeOH or ⁱPrOH with 1 and no intermediates can be detected (by ¹H NMR spectroscopy). Since the oxygen atom of PhOH would be expected to be less basic than those in either MeOH or ⁱPrOH, the rapidity of the phenol reaction may indicate a protonation mechanism, either at the metal hydride or methine carbon sites rather than initial PMe₃ displacement; this would also be consistent with the greater acidity of PhOH $(pK_a = 9.99)$ over MeOH $(pK_a = 15.5)$ and ⁱPrOH ($pK_a = 16.5$) [6], and the fact that hydrogen atoms coordinated to d⁰ early transition metals are commonly hydridic in character, and direct protonation

Correspondence to: Dr. V.C. Gibson.

Reference number with an asterisk indicates a note in the list of references.



Scheme 1. Reactions at room temperature of $(\eta - C_5 Me_5)Ta(PMe_3)(H)_2(\eta^2 - CHPMe_2)$ (1) with ROH reagents. (i) ROH (4 equiv.); (ii) ArOH (2 equiv.), 24 h; (iii) HO₂C₂H₄ (2 equiv.), 24 h, L = vacant site; (HO)₂C₆H₄ (2 equiv.), 24 h, L = PMe₃.

is possible [4b]. 4 is freely soluble in aromatic and moderately soluble in aliphatic hydrocarbon solvents. Its infrared spectrum shows $\nu(Ta-O)$ vibrations at 515 cm⁻¹, within the range found for other tantalum phenoxide complexes [5]. An ion at m/e 596 in the mass spectrum corresponds to protonated $[(\eta-C_5Me_5)-$ Ta(OPh)₃]; no parent ion was observed. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data show sharp, well resolved signals for both the $(\eta-C_5Me_5)$ and phenyl ligands and are consistent with a single, presumably monomeric, species in solution.

1.2. Reaction of (1) with $HOCH_2CH_2OH$ and 1,2- $(HO)_2C_6H_4$

In a similar manner to the reactions with alcohols and phenol. 1 reacts smoothly with the diols, HOCH₂CH₂OH and 1,2-(HO)₂C₆H₄ under ambient conditions to give $[(\eta - C_5 Me_5)Ta(O_2 C_2 H_4)_2]_n$ (5) and $[(\eta - C_5 Me_5)Ta(O_2C_6H_4)_2(PMe_3)]_n$ (6) respectively (Scheme 1). The compounds separate from the reaction mixtures as white (5) or yellow (6) solids in good vields. In contrast to compounds 2-4, both 5 and 6 possess low solubility in common organic solvents, possibly indicating dimeric or oligomeric structures. Consistently, mass spectrometry revealed ions assignable to dimeric units in both compounds. Unfortunately, neither 5 nor 6 were soluble enough in suitable solvents for molecular weight determinations and we were unsuccessful in obtaining crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis. Moreover, ¹H NMR spectroscopy (benzene- d_6) is not particularly informative: compound 5 displays broad bands centred at δ 4.30 and δ 4.11 $(\Delta_{1/2} \ ca. \ 200 \ Hz)$ due to the glycolate methylene hydrogens and a broadened absorption at δ 2.09 ($\Delta_{1/2}$ ca. 8 Hz) that can be assigned to the $(\eta - C_5 Me_5)$ hydrogens. A fluxional dimeric or oligomeric structure in solution is consistent with these observations. Compound 6 is unusual in that it has retained one equivalent of PMe₃, as indicated by elemental analysis, IR spectroscopy (960 cm⁻¹, ρ (CH₃)) and mass spectrometry. The ¹H NMR spectrum (THF- d_8) revealed at least seven (η -C₅Me₅) signals between δ 2.28–1.93 with associated PMe₃ resonances appearing between δ 1.7-1.4 suggesting a complex mixture of compounds in solution possibly involving oligomeric, catechol-bridged species (possible structures for 5 and 6 are shown in Scheme 1). Two mono-cyclopentadienyl niobium catecholate complexes have been described recently, $(\eta$ - C_5H_5)NbCl₂(O₂C₆H₄) and {(η -C₅H₅)NbCl₂(μ -O)- $(\mu - O_2 C_6 H_4)_2$ and the latter has been shown to have a structure containing two bidentate, bridging catecholate ligands and a linear μ -oxo ligand [7].

1.3. Reaction of 1 with ArOH $(Ar = -2, 6-Me_2C_6H_3, -2, 4, 6-Me_3C_6H_2 \text{ and } -2, 6^{-i}Pr_2C_6H_3)$

Although intermediate hydrido-alkoxo or tertiaryphosphine-alkoxo species are not observed in the reactions described above, we envisaged that more sterically demanding reagents such as 2,6-disubstituted phenols might be better able to stabilise products with fewer than four phenoxide groups coordinated to tantalum. Complex I reacted readily within minutes at room temperature with HO-2,6-Me₂C₆H₃ (2 equiv.) to afford a single product as indicated by ¹H NMR spec-

TABLE 1. ¹H NMR data (benzene- d_6 , 298 K).

Com- pound	Shift	Rel. Int.	Multi- plicity	J(Hz)	Assignment
7	11.54	2	s		M-H
	7.05	4	d	³ J(H–H) 7.3	$Ar - H_m$
	6.76	2	t	³ J(H–H) 7.3	$Ar - H_n$
	2.44	12	s		$A_{I}-CH_{3}$
	2.08	15	S		$C_5(CH_3)_5$
8	11.54	2	s		M- <i>H</i>
	6.85	4	s		$Ar - H_m$
	2.46	12	s		$Ar-CH_3(ortho)$
	2.23	6	s		$Ar - CH_3(para)$
	2.12	15	S		$C_5(CH_3)_5$
9	16.09	2	S		M- <i>H</i>
	7.07	4	d	$^{3}J(H_{m}-H_{n})$ 7.3	$Ar - H_m$
	6.90	2	t	$^{3}J(H_{m} - H_{n})$ 7.3	$Ar - H_n^m$
	3.47	4	sept	³ J(H–H) 6.8	C <i>H</i> (CH ₃) ₂
	2.04	15	s		$C_{5}(CH_{3})_{5}$
	1.24	24	d	³ J(H-H) 6.8	$CH(CH_3)_2$

troscopy (Table 1). The $(\eta$ -C₅Me₅) hydrogens resonate at δ 2.08 whilst the presence of two equivalent O-2.6- $Me_2C_6H_3$ ligands is indicated by a singlet at δ 2.44 (Me) and an AX_2 pattern assignable to the aryl hydrogens at δ 7.05 (\bar{H}_{meta}) and 6.76 (H_{para}) respectively. Furthermore, a high frequency singlet resonance, integrating to two hydrogens, is observed at δ 11.54. This shift is characteristic of metal hydride ligands coordinated to formally electron-deficient, d⁰ transition metal centres (cf. $TaHCl_2(CHCMe_3)(PMe_3)_3$, δ 10.00 [8]). On the basis of the above data, the complex may be formulated as $(\eta - C_5 Me_5)Ta(H)_2(O-2,6-Me_2C_6H_3)_2$ (7) (Scheme 1). Two equivalents of PMe₃ are liberated and no free dihydrogen is observed in the reaction mixture (by ¹H NMR). Similar, rapid reactions occur between 1 and HO-2,4,6-Me₃C₆H₂ and HO-2,6- ${}^{i}Pr_{2}C_{6}H_{3}$ to afford the analogous complexes 8 and 9 respectively, for which the ¹H NMR data are summarised in Table 1.

Attempts to isolate 7 led to decomposition to unidentified products, and 9 was obtained only as an oil containing the uncomplexed phenol, as indicated by both IR and ¹H NMR spectroscopy. However, a broad IR absorption at 1800 cm⁻¹, with a shoulder at 1780 cm⁻¹, is consistent with the presence of metal hydride ligands (*cf.* $(\eta$ -C₅Me₅)Ta(PMe₃)(H)₂(η ²-CHPMe₂), ν (M-H) = 1710(s), 1650(s, br) cm⁻¹ [2]). Although structural information is not available, we favour a monomeric, four-legged piano-stool geometry for 7-9 in which the phenoxide ligands occupy the sterically more amenable *trans* positions as illustrated in the Scheme. Compounds containing both [M-H] and [M – OR] moieties (R = alkyl, phenyl, hydrogen) remain quite rare yet are of interest for the modelling of, for 93

example, water splitting reactions (such as $(\eta - C_5Me_5)_2Hf(H)(OH)$ [9] and $\{W(PMe_3)_4(H)_2(OH)_2\}-\{BF_4\}_2$ [10]), methanol synthesis under Fischer-Tropsch conditions (*e.g.* W(PMe_3)_4(H)_3(OMe) [11] and $(\eta - C_5Me_5)Ir(PPh_3)(OEt)(H)$ [12]), and more recently in hydrogenation catalysis, for example by Ta(O-2,6-Cy_2C_6H_3)_2(H)_3(PMe_2Ph)_2 [13].

2. Experimental details

All reactions and manipulations were carried out under dry nitrogen by standard Schlenk and vacuumline techniques. Solvents were pre-dried over either sodium wire, calcium chloride or 4 Å molecular sieves before reflux, distilled from sodium/benzophenone (THF, THF- d_8 , 40-60°C petroleum ether), sodium metal (toluene) or calcium hydride (CH₂Cl₂) under argon and were deoxygenated before use. Elemental analyses were performed by the Microanalytical Laboratory in this department. Infrared spectra were recorded as Nujol mulls between KBr windows on Perkin-Elmer 577 and 457 Grating Spectrophotometers; absorptions are abbreviated as s (strong), m (medium), w (weak), sp (sharp), br (broad). Mass spectra were recorded on a VG 7070E mass spectrometer. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC 250 instrument at ambient temperature in benzene- d_6 (dried by vacuum distillation from phosphorus (V) oxide) unless stated otherwise; chemical shifts are reported as δ in ppm and were referenced internally using the residual solvent resonance $({}^{1}H \text{ and } {}^{13}C)$ relative to tetramethylsilane at 0 ppm. MeOH, ⁱPrOH, ArOH and HOCH₂CH₂OH were distilled and degassed prior to use, PhOH and 1,2-(HO)₂C₆H₄ were commercial samples and used without further purification.

 $(\eta$ -C₅Me₅)Ta(PMe₃)(H)₂ $(\eta^2$ -CHPMe₂) was prepared as described previously [2].

2.1. Reaction of 1 with ROH $(R = Me, {}^{i}Pr)$

A benzene- d_6 solution of $(\eta$ -C₅Me₅)Ta(PMe₃)(H)₂- $(\eta^2$ -CHPMe₂) (20 mg) was treated with ROH (4 equiv) in a 5 mm NMR tube. The samples were then flamesealed under an atmosphere of nitrogen and the progress of reaction monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy at ambient temperature during 48 h.

2.2. Reaction of 1 with PhOH. Synthesis of $(\eta - C_5Me_5)Ta(OPh)_4$ 4

Phenol (0.12 g, 1.3 mmol) was added to a toluene solution (30 cm³) of $(\eta$ -C₅Me₅)Ta(PMe₃)(H)₂(η ²-CHPMe₂) (0.15 g 0.32 mmol) in a nitrogen-filled dry box. After 2 h stirring at room temperature, the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to give a pale

yellow oil, which was washed with cold $(-60^{\circ}C)$ light petroleum ether $(2 \times 5 \text{ cm}^3)$ to afford a white solid. Recrystallisation from light petroleum ether (40 cm³) afforded colourless crystals. Yield, 0.18 g (82%). Elemental analysis. Found: C, 58.98; H, 5.22. C₃₄H₃₅O₄Ta calcd.: C, 59.30; H, 5.13%. IR (cm⁻¹): 3055 (w), 3015 (w), 1588 (s), 1288 (s), 1250 (s, br), 1161 (m), 1153 (m), 1069 (m), 1022 (m), 1000 (m, sp), 881 (s, br), 860 (s), 852 (s), 827 (m), 757 (s), 734 (m), 692 (s), 630 (s), 600 (m), 585 (m), 515 (m), 435 (m). ¹H NMR: 7.04 [dd, 8H, $J(H_o-H_m)$ 8, $J(H_p-H_m)$ 7, Ph- H_m], 6.83 [d, 8H, $J(H_{o}-H_{m})$ 8, Ph- $\dot{H_{o}}$], 6.69 [t, 4H, $J(H_{o}-H_{m})$ 7, Ph- H_{p}], 2.12 [s, 15H, C₅Me₅]. ¹³C{¹H} NMR: 163.84 [s, C_{ipso}], 129.29 [s, C_m], 124.64 [s, C_5Me_5], 120.94 [s, C_p], 119.94 [s, C_o], 11.54 [s, C_5Me_5]. Mass spectrum (m/e, CI, isobutane carrier gas): 596 [M-OPh + H]⁺, 519 $[M-OPh-Ph + H]^+$, 426 $[M-2OPh-Ph + H]^+$.

2.3. Reaction of 1 with HOCH₂CH₂OH. Synthesis of 5

HOCH₂CH₂OH (0.07 g 1.07 mmol) was added from a syringe to a vigorously stirred solution of $(\eta$ -C₅Me₅)Ta(PMe₃)(H)₂(η ²-CHPMe₂) (0.25 g 0.53 mmol) in light petroleum ether (30 cm³) at room temperature. A white, flocculent precipitate was observed after *ca*. 1 h. After 24 h stirring the product was filtered off, washed with light petroleum ether (2 × 10 cm³), and dried *in vacuo*. Yield, 0.14 g (60%). Elemental analysis. Found: C, 38.16; H, 5.48. C₁₄H₂₃O₄Ta calcd.: C, 38.54; H, 5.32%. IR (cm⁻¹): 1278 (m), 1235 (w), 1162 (s), 1142 (s), 1120 (m), 1100 (m), 1082 (m), 1040 (m), 925 (m), 895 (m), 630 (w), 580 (w), 550 (w), 473 (m), 460 (m, br). Mass spectrum (*m/e*, CI isobutane carrier gas): 873 [M₂ + H]⁺, 829 [M₂ - OC₂H₄ + H]⁺, 437 [M + H]⁺, 348 [(η -C₅Me₅)TaO₂]⁺.

2.4. Reaction of 1 with $1,2-(HO)_2C_6H_4$. Synthesis of 6

Light petroleum ether (40 cm³) was added to a solid mixture of $(\eta$ -C₅Me₅)Ta(PMe₃)(H)₂ $(\eta^2$ -CHPMe₂) (0.25 g 0.53 mmol) and 1,2-(HO)₂C₆H₄ (0.12 g 1.07 mmol) at room temperature. Stirring for 30 min resulted in dissolution of the catechol and precipitation of a yellow solid. After 24 h the product was filtered off, washed with light petroleum ether (2 × 10 cm³), and dried *in vacuo*. Yield, 0.28 g (86%). Elemental analysis. Found: C, 49.37; H, 5.72. C₂₅H₃₂O₄PTa calcd.: C, 49.34; H, 5.31% IR (cm⁻¹): 3045 (w), 1587 (w), 1345 (m), 1339 (w), 1291 (m), 1277 (s), 1264 (s), 1255 (s), 1215 (m), 1100 (m), 1095 (m), 1040 (m), 1020 (m), 1013 (m), 985 (w), 960 (m), 820 (m), 809 (s), 756 (s), 732 (s), 650 (m), 629 (s), 600 (m), 529 (m), 515 (m), 489 (w). Mass spectrum (m/e, EI 70 eV): 961 [$M_2 - (HO)_2C_6$ - $H_4 - PMe_3 - C_4H_4(OH)$]⁺, 532 [($\eta - C_5Me_5$)- $Ta(O_2C_6H_4)_2$]⁺.

2.5. Reaction of 1 with ArOH $(Ar = -2, 6-Me_2C_6H_3, -2, 4, 6-Me_3C_6H_2 \text{ and } -2, 6-{}^{i}Pr_2C_6H_3)$

 $(\eta$ -C₅Me₅)Ta(PMe₃)(H)₂ $(\eta^2$ -CHPMe₂) (20 mg) was dissolved in benzene- d_6 solvent in a 5 mm NMR tube and treated with ArOH (2 equiv.) at ambient temperature. The samples were then flame-sealed under nitrogen and the progress of the reactions monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the Science and Engineering Research Council (U.K.) for financial support. V.C.G. also thanks BP Chemicals for a Young University Lecturer Award and the Royal Society of Chemistry for the award of an Edward Frankland Fellowship.

References and notes

- 1 T. P. Kee, V. C. Gibson and W. Clegg, J. Organomet. Chem., 325 (1987) C14.
- 2 H. M. Anstice, H. H. Fielding, V. C. Gibson, C. E. Housecroft and T. P. Kee, Organometallics, 10 (1991) 2183.
- 3 V. C. Gibson, T. P. Kee and W. Clegg, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 29 (1990) 313.
- 4 (a) ¹H NMR: (2) 4.23 [s, 12H, CH_3O -], 1.98 [s, 15H, $C_5(CH_3)_5$]; (3) 4.67 [septet, 4H, ³J(H-H) 6, $CH(CH_3)_2$], 2.00 [s, 15H, $C_5(CH_3)_5$], 1.25 (d, 24H, ³J(H-H) 6, $CH(CH_3)_2$]; (b) J. M. Mayer and J. E. Bercaw, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104 (1982) 2157.
- 5 K. C. Malhotra, U. K. Banerjee and S. C. Chaudry, J. Ind. Chem. Soc., 57 (1980) 868.
- 6 C. H. Rochester, in S. Patai (Ed.), *The Chemistry of the Hydroxy* Group, Part 1, Interscience, London, 1971, Chap. 7.
- 7 F. A. Jalon, A. Otero, P. Royo, J. M. Fernandez-G, M. J. Rosales and R. A. Toscano, J. Organomet. Chem., 331 (1987) C1.
- 8 J. D. Fellman, H. W. Turner and R. R. Schrock, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100 (1978) 3359.
- 9 G. L. Hillhouse and J. E. Bercaw, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 106 (1984) 5472.
- 10 M. L. H. Green, G. Parkin, M. Chen and K. Prout, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1986) 2227.
- 11 M. L. H. Green, G. Parkin, K. J. Moynihan and K. Prout, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1984) 1540.
- 12 L. J. Newman and R. G. Bergman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 107 (1985) 5314.
- 13 B. C. Ankianicc, P. E. Fanwick and I. P. Rothwell, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 113 (1991) 4710.